arXiv:hep-th/0309145v4 27 Sep 2004

MPP-2003-79

Construction of Gauge Theories on Curved Noncommutative Spacetime

Wolfgang Behr[1], Andreas Sykora[2]

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik,
Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 München, Germany

Abstract

We present a method where derivations of $\star$-product algebras are used to build covariant derivatives for noncommutative gauge theory. We write down a noncommutative action by linking these derivations to a frame field induced by a nonconstant metric. An example is given where the action reduces in the classical limit to scalar electrodynamics on a curved background. We further use the Seiberg-Witten map to extend the formalism to arbitrary gauge groups. A proof of the existence of the Seiberg-Witten-map for an abelian gauge potential is given for the formality $\star$-product. We also give explicit formulas for the Weyl ordered $\star$-product and its Seiberg-Witten-maps up to second order.

PACS: 11.10.Nx, 11.15.-q, 02.40.Gh
Keywords: noncommutative field theory, gauge field theory, Seiberg-Witten-map, noncommutative geometry

---

[1] behr@theorie.physik.uni-muenchen.de
[2] andreas@theorie.physik.uni-muenchen.de

Contents

1 Introduction 3

2 The general formalism 3
- 2.1 Classical gauge theory . . . 3
- 2.2 Commutative actions with the frame formalism . . . 4
- 2.3 Noncommutative gauge theory . . . 5
- 2.4 Derivations and Forms . . . 7
- 2.5 Seiberg-Witten gauge theory . . . 9
- 2.6 Gauge theory on curved noncommutative spacetime . . . 10
- 2.7 Example: $SO_a(n)$ . . . 12

3 Weyl-ordered $\star$-product 13
- 3.1 Construction . . . 14
- 3.2 Derivations . . . 16
- 3.3 Explicit formulas for the Seiberg-Witten map . . . 17
- 3.4 Example: $SO_a(n)$ . . . 19

4 Formality 21
- 4.1 The Formality Map . . . 21
- 4.2 Construction of the Seiberg-Witten map . . . 23
  - 4.2.1 Semi-classical construction . . . 24
  - 4.2.2 Quantum construction . . . 25

5 Acknowledgements 27

A Definitions 27
- A.1 The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket . . . 27
- A.2 The Gerstenhaber bracket . . . 28

B Calculations 28
- B.1 Calculation of $[\theta_t, \theta_t]$ and $[\theta_t, X_t]$ . . . 28
- B.2 The transformation properties of K_t . . . 30
- B.3 Calculation of the commutators . . . 31
  - B.3.1 Semi-classical construction . . . 31
  - B.3.2 Quantum construction . . . 32

1 Introduction

One hope associated with the application of noncommutative geometry in physics is a better description of quantized gravity. At least it should be possible to construct effective actions where traces of this unknown theory remain. If one believes that quantum gravity is in a sense a quantum field theory, then its observables are operators on a Hilbert space and therefore elements of an algebra. Some properties of this algebra should be reflected in the noncommutative geometry the effective actions are constructed on. As the noncommutativity should be induced by background gravitational fields, the classical limit of the effective actions should reduce to actions on curved spacetimes [1, 2].

In this paper we will investigate noncommutative geometry formulated in the $\star$-product formalism, where gauge theory can be constructed in a particularly convenient way on noncommutative spacetime.

The case of an algebra with constant commutator has been extensively studied. This theory reduces in the classical limit to a theory on a flat spacetime. Therefore it is necessary to develop concepts working with more general algebras[3], since one would expect that curved backgrounds are related to algebras with nonconstant commutation relations. We present here a method using derivations of $\star$-product algebras to build covariant derivatives for noncommutative gauge theory. We are able to write down a noncommutative action by linking these derivations to a frame field induced by a nonconstant metric. An example is given where the action reduces in the classical limit to scalar electrodynamics on a curved background.

Nonexpanded theories can only deal with $U(n)$-gauge groups, but using Seiberg-Witten-maps relating noncommutative quantities with their commutative counterparts makes it possible to consider arbitrary nonabelian gauge groups [4, 5, 6]. We therefore extend our formalism to arbitrary gauge groups by introducing Seiberg-Witten maps. A proof of the existence of the Seiberg-Witten-map for an abelian gauge potential is given for the formality $\star$-product. We also give explicit formulas for the Weyl ordered $\star$-product and its Seiberg-Witten-maps up to second order.

2 The general formalism

2.1 Classical gauge theory

First let us recall some properties of a general classical gauge theory. A non-abelian gauge theory is based on a Lie group with Lie algebra

$$[T^a, T^b] = i\, f^{ab}{}_c T^c. \tag{1}$$

---

[3] As an example for the treatment of a special algebra, see also the recent paper [3], where gauge theory on the $E_q(2)$-covariant plane is studied.

Matter fields transform under a Lie algebra valued infinitesimal parameter

$$\delta_\alpha \psi = i\alpha\psi, \qquad \alpha = \alpha_a T^a \tag{2}$$

in the fundamental representation. It follows that

$$(\delta_\alpha \delta_\beta - \delta_\beta \delta_\alpha)\psi = \delta_{-i[\alpha,\beta]}\psi. \tag{3}$$

The commutator of two consecutive infinitesimal gauge transformation closes into an infinitesimal gauge transformation. Further a Lie algebra valued gauge potential is introduced with the transformation property

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= a_{ia}T^a, \\ \delta_\alpha a_i &= \partial_i \alpha + i[\alpha, a_i]. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

With this the covariant derivative of a field is

$$D_i\psi = \partial_i\psi - ia_i\psi. \tag{5}$$

The field strength of the gauge potential is defined to be the commutator of two covariant derivatives

$$iF_{ij} = [D_i, D_j] = \partial_i a_j - \partial_j a_i - i[a_i, a_j]. \tag{6}$$

The last equations can all be stated in the language of forms. For this a connection one form is introduced

$$a = a_{ia}T^a dx^i. \tag{7}$$

The covariant derivative now acts as

$$D\psi = d\psi - ia\psi. \tag{8}$$

The field strength becomes a two form

$$F = da - ia \wedge a. \tag{9}$$

2.2 Commutative actions with the frame formalism

In this section we want to recall some aspects of classical differential geometry. Suppose we are working on a n-dimensional manifold M. Then there are locally n derivations ∂_μ which form a basis of the tangent space TM of the manifold. The derivations all fulfill the Leibniz rule on two functions. If we make a local basis transformation on TM then this “frame” can always be written locally as

$$e_a = {e_a}^\mu(x)\partial_\mu, \tag{10}$$

where ${e_a}^\mu(x)$ has to be invertible (${e_\nu}^a {e_a}^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu$). Since forms are dual to vector fields, they may be evaluated on a frame. For the covariant derivate we get

$$(D\psi)(e_a) = e_a\psi - ia_a\psi \tag{11}$$

where

$$a_a = a(e_a). \tag{12}$$

The field strength becomes

$$f(e_a, e_b) = f_{ab} = e_a a_b - e_b a_a - a([e_a, e_b]) - i[a_a, a_b]. \tag{13}$$

It is well known that in Riemannian geometry it is always possible to find a frame where the metric is constant

$$\eta_{ab} = {e_a}^\mu {e_b}^\nu g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{14}$$

Since in scalar electrodynamics we do not need a spin connection, it is simple to write down its action on an curved manifold with the frame formalism

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^n x \, e \, (-\frac{1}{4}\eta^{ab}\eta^{cd} f_{ac} f_{bd} + \eta^{ab} D_a\overline{\phi} D_b\phi + m^2\overline{\phi}\phi). \tag{15}$$

Here

$$e = (\det \, {e_a}^\mu)^{-1} = \sqrt{\det{(g_{\mu\nu})}} \tag{16}$$

is the measure function for the curved manifold.

2.3 Noncommutative gauge theory

In our approach to studying physics in the noncommutative realm, one deforms the commutative algebra of functions on a space to a noncommutative one. This noncommutative algebra of functions we call noncommutative space, noncommutative objects are written with a hat. We want this deformation to be controlled by some parameter so that in some limit we can get back a commutative space. The same we expect from theories built on a noncommutative space: In the commutative limit they should reduce to a meaningful commutative theory. For a noncommutative space where the commutator of the coordinates is a constant, the commutative limit is the usual gauge theory on flat spacetime. But as the noncommutativity should be related to gravity, gauge theory on a curved spacetime seems to be a more natural limit for theories on noncommutative spaces with more complicated, non-constant commutators.

In a gauge theory on a noncommutative space, fields should again transform like (2)

$$\hat{\delta}_{\hat{\Lambda}}\hat{\Psi} = i\hat{\Lambda}\hat{\Psi}. \tag{17}$$

Again the commutator of two gauge transformations should be a gauge transformation

$$(\hat{\delta}_{\hat{\Lambda}}\hat{\delta}_{\hat{\Gamma}} - \hat{\delta}_{\hat{\Gamma}}\hat{\delta}_{\hat{\Lambda}})\hat{\Psi} = \hat{\delta}_{-i[\hat{\Lambda},\hat{\Gamma}]}\hat{\Psi}. \tag{18}$$

This is only the case for $U(n)$ gauge groups, but general gauge groups can be implemented by using Seiberg-Witten maps (see chapter 2.5). Since multiplication of a function with a field is not again a covariant operation, we are forced to introduce a covariantizer with the transformation property

$$\hat{\delta}_{\hat{\Lambda}} D(\hat{f}) = i[\hat{\Lambda}, D(\hat{f})]. \tag{19}$$

From this it follows that

$$\hat{\delta}_{\hat{\Lambda}}(D(\hat{f})\hat{\Psi}) = i\hat{\Lambda}D(\hat{f})\hat{\Psi}. \tag{20}$$

If we covariantize the coordinate functions $\hat{x}^i$ we get covariant coordinates

$$\hat{X}^i = D(\hat{x}^i) = \hat{x}^i + \hat{A},^i \tag{21}$$

where the gauge field now transforms according to

$$\hat{\delta}_{\hat{\Lambda}}\hat{A}^i = -i[\hat{x}^i, \hat{\Lambda}] + i[\hat{\Lambda}, \hat{A}^i]. \tag{22}$$

Unluckily, this does not have a meaningful commutative limit, a problem that can only be fixed for the canonical case (i.e. $[\widehat{x}^i, \widehat{x}^j] = i\theta^{ij}$ with θ a constant) and invertible θ.

For noncommutative algebras where we already have derivatives with a commutative limit, it therefore seems natural to gauge these. But due to their nontrivial coproduct the resulting gauge field would have to be derivative-valued to match the rather awkward behaviour under gauge transformations [7]. The physical reason for this might be the following: The noncommutative derivatives are in general built to reduce to derivatives on flat spacetime, which might not be the correct commutative limit.

We therefore advocate a solution using derivations that will later on (see section 2.6) be linked to derivatives on curved spacetime:

If we have a derivation $\hat{\partial}$ of the algebra, i.e.

$$\hat{\partial}(\hat{f}\hat{g}) = (\hat{\partial}\hat{f})\hat{g} + \hat{f}(\hat{\partial}\hat{g}), \tag{23}$$

we can introduce a noncommutative gauge parameter $\hat{A}_{\hat{\partial}}$ and demand that the covariant derivative (or covariant derivation) of a field

$$\hat{D}\hat{\Psi} = (\hat{\partial} - i\hat{A}_{\hat{\partial}})\hat{\Psi} \tag{24}$$

again transforms like a field

$$\hat{\delta}_{\hat{\Lambda}}\hat{D}\hat{\Psi} = i\hat{\Lambda}\hat{D}\hat{\Psi}. \tag{25}$$

From this it follows that $\hat{A}_{\hat{\partial}}$ has to transform like

$$\hat{\delta}_{\hat{\Lambda}}\hat{A}_{\hat{\partial}} = \hat{\partial}\hat{A}_{\hat{\partial}} + i[\hat{\Lambda}, \hat{A}_{\hat{\partial}}]. \tag{26}$$

This is the transformation property we would expect a noncommutative gauge potential to have. If we have an involution on the algebra, we can demand that the gauge potential is real $\hat{A}_{\hat{\partial}} = \overline{\hat{A}_{\hat{\partial}}}$ and the field $\overline{\hat{\Psi}}$ transforms on the right hand side. In this case expressions of the form

$$\overline{\hat{\Psi}}\hat{\Psi} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\hat{D}\hat{\Psi}}\hat{D}\hat{\Psi} \tag{27}$$

become gauge invariant quantities.

2.4 Derivations and Forms

We have seen that in order to construct noncommutative gauge theory in our approach, we need derivations on the algebra. As we want to use $\star$-products to represent the algebra, we have to investigate the derivations of such a $\star$-product algebra. We will be able to identify derivations of $\star$-products with Poisson vector fields of the Poisson structure associated with the $\star$-product. To be more explicit, let us assume that X is a Poisson vector field

$$X^i\partial_i\{f,g\} = \{X^i\partial_i f, g\} + \{f, X^i\partial_i g\}. \tag{28}$$

Then there exists a polydifferential operator δ_X with the following property

$$\delta_X(f \star g) = \delta_X f \star g + f \star \delta_X g. \tag{29}$$

Such a map δ from the vectorfields to the differential operators, which maps the derivations of the Poisson manifold $T_\pi M = \{X \in TM | [X,\pi]_S = 0\}$ to the derivations of the $\star$-product $D_\star M = \{\delta \in D_{poly} | [\delta, \star]_G = 0\}$, can be constructed both for the Formality $\star$-product (see 4.1) and the Weyl ordered $\star$-product (see 3.2). Here we want to investigate the general properties of such a map δ. For this we expand it on a local patch in terms of partial derivatives

$$\delta_X = \delta_X^i\partial_i + \delta_X^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j + \cdots. \tag{30}$$

Due to its property to be a derivation, δ_X is completely determined by the first term $\delta_X^i\partial_i$. This means that if the first term is zero, the other terms have to vanish, too. If further e is an arbitrary derivation of the $\star$-product, there must exist a vector field X_e such that

$$\delta_{X_e} = e. \tag{31}$$

If $X, Y \in T_\pi M$, then $[\delta_X, \delta_Y]$ is again a derivation of the $\star$-product and we can conclude that

$$[\delta_X, \delta_Y] = \delta_{[X,Y]_\star}, \tag{32}$$

where $[X,Y]_\star$ is a deformation of the ordinary Lie bracket of vector fields. Obviously it is linear, skew-symmetric and fulfills the Jacobi identity.

We will now intoduce noncommutative forms. If we have a map δ we have seen that there is a natural Lie-algebra structure (32) over the space of derivations of the $\star$-product. On this we can easily construct the Chevalley cohomology. Further, again with the map δ, we can lift derivations of the Poisson structure to derivations of the $\star$-product. Therefore it should be possible to pull back the Chevalley cohomology from the space of derivations to vector fields. This will be done in the following.

A deformed k-form is defined to map k Poisson vector fields to a function and has to be skew-symmetric and linear over $\mathbb{C}$. This is a generalization of the undeformed case, where a form has to be linear over the algebra of functions. Functions are defined to be 0-forms. The space of forms $\Omega_\star M$ is now a $\star$-bimodule via

$$(f \star \omega \star g)(X_1, \ldots, X_k) = f \star \omega(X_1, \ldots, X_k) \star g. \tag{33}$$

As expected, the exterior differential is defined with the help of the map δ.

$$\delta\omega(X_0, \ldots, X_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i \, \delta_{X_i} \omega(X_0, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, X_k) \tag{34}$$

$$+ \sum_{0 \le i < j \le k} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j]_\star, X_0, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, \hat{X}_j, \ldots, X_k). \tag{35}$$

With the properties of δ and $[,]_\star$ it follows that

$$\delta^2 \omega = 0. \tag{36}$$

To be more explicit we give formulas for a function f, a one form A and a two form F

$$\begin{aligned} \delta f(X) &= \delta_X f, & (37)\\ \delta A(X,Y) &= \delta_X A_Y - \delta_Y A_X - A_{[X,Y]_\star}, & (38)\\ \delta F(X,Y,Z) &= \delta_X F_{Y,Z} - \delta_Y F_{X,Z} + \delta_Z F_{X,Y}, & (39)\\ &\quad - F_{[X,Y]_\star, Z} + F_{[X,Z]_\star, Y} - F_{[Y,Z]_\star, X}. \end{aligned}$$

A wedge product may be defined

$$\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2(X_1, \ldots, X_{p+q}) = \frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{I,J} \varepsilon(I,J) \, \omega_1(X_{i_1}, \ldots, X_{i_p}) \star \omega_2(X_{j_1}, \ldots, X_{j_q}) \tag{40}$$

where (I,J) is a partition of $(1, \ldots, p+q)$ and $\varepsilon(I,J)$ is the sign of the corresponding permutation. The wedge product is linear and associative and generalizes the bimodule structure (33). We note that it is no more graded commutative. We again give some formulas.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f \wedge a)_X &= f \star a_X, && (41)\\
(a \wedge f)_X &= a_X \star f, && (42)\\
(a \wedge b)_{X,Y} &= a_X \star b_Y - a_Y \star b_X. && (43)
\end{aligned}
$$

The differential (35) fulfills the graded Leibniz rule

$$\delta(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = \delta\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + (-1)^{k_2}\, \omega_1 \wedge \delta\omega_2. \tag{44}$$

Now we are able to translate noncommutative gauge theory into the language of these forms. A_X is the connection one form evaluated on the vector field X. It transforms like

$$\delta_\alpha A = \delta\Lambda_\alpha + i\Lambda_\alpha \wedge A - A \wedge \Lambda_\alpha. \tag{45}$$

The covariant derivative of a field is now

$$D\Psi = \delta\Psi - iA \wedge \Psi, \tag{46}$$

and the field strength becomes

$$F = DF = \delta A - iA \wedge A. \tag{47}$$

One easily can show that the field strength is a covariant constant

$$DF = \delta F - iA \wedge F = 0. \tag{48}$$

2.5 Seiberg-Witten gauge theory

Up to now, we could only do noncommutative gauge theory for gauge groups $U(n)$ (see (18)). We will now show how to implement general gauge groups by using Seiberg-Witten maps [4, 8].

For general gauge groups, the commutator of two noncommutative gauge transformations no longer closes into the Lie algebra. The noncommutative gauge parameter and the noncommutative gauge potential will therefore have to be enveloping algebra valued, but they will only depend on their commutative counterparts, therefore preserving the right number of degrees of freedom. These Seiberg-Witten maps Λ, Ψ and D are functionals of their classical counterparts and additionally of the gauge potential a_i. Their transformation properties (19) and (20) should be induced by the classical ones (2) and (4) like

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\Lambda}_\beta[a] + \widehat{\delta}_\alpha \widehat{\Lambda}_\beta[a] &= \widehat{\Lambda}_\beta[a + \delta_\alpha a], && (49)\\
\widehat{\Psi}_\psi[a] + \widehat{\delta}_\alpha \widehat{\Psi}_\psi[a] &= \widehat{\Psi}_{\psi+\delta_\alpha\psi}[a + \delta_\alpha a], && (50)\\
\widehat{A}[a] + \widehat{\delta}_\alpha \widehat{A}[a] &= \widehat{A}[a + \delta_\alpha a]. && (51)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Seiberg-Witten maps can be found order by order using a $\star$-product to represent the algebra on a space of functions. Translated into this language we get for the fields [6]

$$\delta_\alpha \Psi_\psi[a] = i\Lambda_\alpha[a] \star \Psi_\psi[a]. \tag{52}$$

From (52) we can derive a consistency condition for the noncommuative gauge parameter [8]. Insertion into (18) and the use of (3) yields

$$i\delta_\alpha \Lambda_\beta - i\delta_\beta \Lambda_\alpha + [\Lambda_\alpha \overset{\star}{,} \Lambda_\beta] = i\Lambda_{-i[\alpha,\beta]}. \tag{53}$$

The transformation law for the covariantizer is now

$$\delta_\alpha(D[a](f)) = i[\Lambda_\alpha[a] \overset{\star}{,} D[a](f)]. \tag{54}$$

The Seiberg-Witten-map can be easily extended to the derivations δ_X of the $\star$-product. The noncommutative covariant derivative $D_X[a]$ can be written with the help of a noncommutative gauge potential $A_X[a]$ now depending both on the commutative gauge potential a and the vectorfield X

$$D_X[a]\Psi_\psi[a] = \delta_X \Psi_\psi[a] - iA_X[a] \star \Psi_\psi[a]. \tag{55}$$

It follows that the gauge potential has to transform like

$$\delta_\alpha A_X[a] = \delta_X \Lambda_\alpha[a] + i[\Lambda_\alpha[a] \overset{\star}{,} A_X[a]]. \tag{56}$$

We will give explicit formulas for the Seiberg-Witten maps in the chapters 3.3 and 4.2.

2.6 Gauge theory on curved noncommutative spacetime

We are now ready to formulate gauge theory on a curved noncommutative space, i.e. a noncommutative space with a Poisson structure that is compatible with a frame e_a. Later on we will propose a method how to find such frames commuting with the Poisson structure in the context of quantum spaces.

With the derivation δ_X, the covariant derivative of a field and the gauge potential now read

$$D_X \Psi_\psi = \delta_X \Psi_\psi - iA_X \star \Psi_\psi. \tag{57}$$

With this, a field strength may be defined as

$$iF_{X,Y} = [D_X \overset{\star}{,} D_Y] - D_{[X,Y]_\star}. \tag{58}$$

The properties of $\delta.$ and $[\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,]_\star$ ensure that this is really a function and not a polydifferential operator.

For a curved noncommutative space, we can now evaluate the noncommutative covariant derivative (57) and field strength (58) on the frame e_a

$$D_a\Phi = D_{e_a}\Phi = \delta_{e_a}\Phi - iA_{e_a} \star \Phi, \tag{59}$$

$$F_{ab} = F(e_a, e_b). \tag{60}$$

To write down a gauge invariant action we further need a trace, i. e. a functional from the algebra to the complex numbers. Again the $\star$-product will be a useful tool. For a large class of $\star$-products there exist measure functions Ω so that

$$\int f \star g = \int d^n x \, \Omega(f \star g) \tag{61}$$

and

$$\int f \star g = \int g \star f. \tag{62}$$

Obviously up to first order Ω has to fulfill [9]

$$\partial_\mu(\Omega \pi^{\mu\nu}) = 0. \tag{63}$$

It is known [10] that there is always a $\star$-product for which this equation holds up to all orders.

Using the measure function and our noncommutative versions of field strength and covariant derivative we end up with the following action

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^n x \, \Omega \, (-\frac{1}{4}\eta^{ab}\eta^{cd}F_{ac} \star F_{bd} + \eta^{ab} D_a\overline{\Phi} \star D_b\Phi - m^2\overline{\Phi} \star \Phi). \tag{64}$$

By construction this action is invariant under noncommutative gauge transformations

$$\delta_\alpha S = 0. \tag{65}$$

Its classical limit is

$$\mathcal{S} \to \int d^n x \, \Omega \, (-\frac{1}{4}g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\gamma\delta}f_{\alpha\gamma}f_{\beta\delta} + g^{\alpha\beta}D_\alpha\bar{\phi}D_\beta\phi - m^2\bar{\phi}\phi), \tag{66}$$

with $g_{\alpha\beta}$ the metric induced by the frame. In our example (see 2.7 and 3.4), we will further have $\Omega = \sqrt{g}$ and the interpretation of the classical limit is obvious.

We will now propose a method how to find Poisson structures and compatible frames. On several quantum spaces deformed derivations have been constructed [11, 12, 13]. In most cases the deformed Leibniz rule may be written in the following form

$$\hat{\partial}_\mu(\hat{f}\hat{g}) = \hat{\partial}_\mu\hat{f}\hat{g} + \hat{T}_\mu{}^\nu(\hat{f})\hat{\partial}_\nu\hat{g}, \tag{67}$$

where $\hat{T}$ is an algebra morphism from the quantum space to its matrix ring

$$\hat{T}_\mu{}^\nu(\hat{f}\hat{g}) = \hat{T}_\mu{}^\alpha(\hat{f})\hat{T}_\alpha{}^\nu(\hat{g}). \tag{68}$$

Again in some cases it is possible to implement this morphism with some kind of inner morphism

$$\hat{T}_\mu{}^\nu(\hat{f}) = \hat{e}_\mu{}^a \hat{f} \hat{e}_a{}^\nu, \tag{69}$$

where $\hat{e}_a{}^\mu$ is an invertible matrix with entries from the quantum space. If we define

$$\hat{e}_a = \hat{e}_a{}^\mu \hat{\partial}_\mu, \tag{70}$$

the $\hat{e}_a$ are derivations

$$\hat{e}_a(\hat{f}\hat{g}) = \hat{e}_a(\hat{f})\hat{g} + \hat{f}\hat{e}_a(\hat{g}). \tag{71}$$

The dual formulation of this with covariant differential calculi on quantum spaces is the formalism with commuting frames investigated for example in [14, 15, 16, 17]. There one can additionally find how our formalism fits into the language of Connes' spectral triples.

We can now represent the quantum space with the help of a $\star$-product. For example we can use the Weyl ordered $\star$-product we will construct in section 3.1. Further we can calculate the action of the operators $\hat{e}_a$ on functions. Since these are now derivations of a $\star$-product, there necessarily exist Poisson vector fields e_a with

$$\delta_{e_a} = \hat{e}_a. \tag{72}$$

2.7 Example: $SO_a(n)$

In this section will examine a quantum space introduced in [18]. Since we are using a n-dimensional generalisation we will simply call it $SO_a(n)$ covariant quantum space. The relations of this quantum space are

$$[\widehat{x}^0, \widehat{x}^i] = ia\widehat{x}^i \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq 0, \tag{73}$$

with a a real number. In the following of the example Greek indices will run from 0 to $n-1$, whereas Latin indices will run from 1 to $n-1$. The deformed derivations commute and act like

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\partial}_o \hat{x}^0 &= 1 + \hat{x}^0 \hat{\partial}_o, \\ \hat{\partial}_o \hat{x}^i &= \hat{x}^i \hat{\partial}_o, \\ \hat{\partial}_i \hat{x}^j &= \delta_i^j + \hat{x}^j \hat{\partial}_i, \\ \hat{\partial}_i \hat{x}^0 &= (\hat{x}^0 + ia)\hat{\partial}_i, \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

If we define $\hat{\rho} = \sqrt{\sum_i (\hat{x}^i)^2}$ and assume that it is invertible then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}_o &= \hat{\partial}_0, \\ \hat{e}_i &= \hat{\rho}\hat{\partial}_i \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

is a frame on the quantum space. The classical limit of this is obviously

$$\begin{aligned} e_o &= \partial_0, \\ e_i &= \rho\partial_i \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

and the classical metric becomes

$$g = (dx^0)^2 + \rho^{-2}((dx^1)^2 + \cdots + (dx^{n-1})^2). \tag{77}$$

We know that we can write

$$(dx^1)^2 + \cdots + (dx^{n-1})^2 = d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Omega_{n-2}^2, \tag{78}$$

where $d\Omega_{n-2}^2$ is the metric of the $n-2$ dimensional sphere. Therefore in this new coordinate system

$$g = (dx^0)^2 + (d\ln\rho)^2 + d\Omega_{n-2}^2 \tag{79}$$

and we see that the classical space is a cross product of two dimensional Euclidean space and a $n-2$-sphere. Therefore it is a space of constant non vanishing curvature. Further the measure function is

$$\sqrt{\det g} = \rho^{-(n-1)}. \tag{80}$$

We will continue this example at the end of section 3, where we will have explicit formulas for the $\star$-product.

3 Weyl-ordered $\star$-product

To pursue our investigation further, we will have to use a specific $\star$-product and construct explicit expressions for the terms that enter into the action (64).

For the case of constant Poisson structure, one usually uses the Moyal-Weyl $\star$-product, corresponding to symmetric ordering of the generators of the noncommutative algebra. This procedure of generating a $\star$-product by an ordering prescription can be applied to more general algebras, too.

In this section we will therfore use a $\star$-product generated by symmetric ordering of the generators of a noncommutative algebra, the Weyl-ordered $\star$-product. The algebra of functions equipped with the Weyl-ordered $\star$-product is isomorphic by construction to the noncommutative algebra it is based on.

We will present a general formula for the Weyl-ordered $\star$-product up to second order. We will then calculate the derivations to this $\star$-product and the Seiberg-Witten maps for all relevant quantities.

3.1 Construction

We start with an algebra generated by N elements $\hat{x}^i$ and relations

$$[\hat{x}^i, \hat{x}^j] = \hat{c}^{ij}(\hat{x}). \tag{81}$$

For such an algebra we will calculate a $\star$-product up to second order. Let

$$f(p) = \int d^n x \, f(x) e^{ip_i x^i} \tag{82}$$

be the Fourier transform of f. Then the Weyl ordered operator associated to f is defined by

$$W(f) = \int \frac{d^n p}{(2\pi)^n} f(p) e^{-ip_i \hat{x}^i} \tag{83}$$

(see e. g. [5]) . Every monomial of coordinate functions is mapped to the corresponding Weyl ordered monomial of the algebra. We note that

$$W(e^{iq_i x^i}) = e^{iq_i \hat{x}^i}. \tag{84}$$

The Weyl ordered $\star$-product is defined by the equation

$$W(f \star g) = W(f) W(g). \tag{85}$$

If we insert the Fourier transforms of f and g we get

$$f \star g = \int \frac{d^n k}{(2\pi)^n} \int \frac{d^n p}{(2\pi)^n} f(k) g(p) \, W^{-1}(e^{-ik_i \hat{x}^i} e^{-ip_i \hat{x}^i}). \tag{86}$$

We are therefore able to write down the $\star$-product of the two functions if we know the form of the last expression. For this we expand it in terms of commutators. We use

$$e^{\hat{A}} e^{\hat{B}} = e^{\hat{A}+\hat{B}} R(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) \tag{87}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} R(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \\ &- \frac{1}{6}[\hat{A} + 2\hat{B}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] + \frac{1}{8}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}][\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + \mathcal{O}(3). \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

If we set $\hat{A} = -ik_i \hat{x}^i$ and $\hat{B} = -ip_i \hat{x}^i$ the above-mentioned expression becomes

$$W^{-1}(e^{-ik_i \hat{x}^i} e^{-ip_i \hat{x}^i}) = \tag{89}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{-i(k_i+p_i)x^i} + \frac{1}{2}(-ik_i)(-ip_j)W^{-1}(e^{-i(k_i+p_i)\hat{x}^i}[\hat{x}^i,\hat{x}^j]) \\
& -\frac{1}{6}(-i)(k_m+2p_m)(-ik_i)(-ip_j)W^{-1}(e^{-i(k_i+p_i)\hat{x}^i}[[\hat{x}^m,[\hat{x}^i,\hat{x}^j]]) \qquad (90) \\
& +\frac{1}{8}(-ik_m)(-ip_n)(-ik_i)(-ip_j)W^{-1}(e^{-i(k_i+p_i)\hat{x}^i}[\hat{x}^m,\hat{x}^n][\hat{x}^i,\hat{x}^j]) \\
& +\mathcal{O}(3).
\end{aligned}
$$

If we assume that the commutators of the generators are written in Weyl ordered form

$$\hat{c}^{ij} = W(c^{ij}), \tag{91}$$

we see that

$$[\hat{x}^m,[\hat{x}^i,\hat{x}^j]] = W(c^{ml}\partial_l c^{ij}) + \mathcal{O}(3), \tag{92}$$

$$[\hat{x}^m,\hat{x}^n][\hat{x}^i,\hat{x}^j] = W(c^{mn}c^{ij}) + \mathcal{O}(3). \tag{93}$$

Further we can derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{-1}(e^{iq_i\hat{x}^i}W(f)) &= W^{-1}\left(\int \frac{d^np}{(2\pi)^n} f(p) e^{-i(q_i+p_i)\hat{x}^i} R(-iq_i\hat{x}^i,-ip_i\hat{x}^i)\right) \\
&= e^{-iq_ix^i}\left(f + \frac{1}{2}(-iq_i)c^{ij}\partial_j f\right) + \mathcal{O}(2). \qquad (94)
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting all this together yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{-1}(e^{-ik_i\hat{x}^i}e^{-ip_i\hat{x}^i}) &= e^{-i(k_i+p_i)x^i}\Bigg(1 + \frac{1}{2}c^{ij}(-ik_i)(-ip_j) \qquad (95) \\
&+ \frac{1}{8}c^{mn}c^{ij}(-ik_m)(-ip_n)(-ik_i)(-ip_j) \\
&+ \frac{1}{12}c^{ml}\partial_l c^{ij}(-i)(k_m-p_m)(-ik_i)(-ip_j)\Bigg) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(3),
\end{aligned}
$$

and we can write down the Weyl ordered $\star$-product up to second order for an arbitrary algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \star g &= fg + \frac{1}{2}c^{ij}\partial_i f \partial_j g \qquad (96) \\
&+ \frac{1}{8}c^{mn}c^{ij}\partial_m\partial_i f \partial_n\partial_j g \\
&+ \frac{1}{12}c^{ml}\partial_l c^{ij}(\partial_m\partial_i f\partial_j g - \partial_i f \partial_m\partial_j g) + \mathcal{O}(3).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us collect some properties of the just calculated $\star$-product. First

$$[x^i \overset{\star}{,} x^j] = c^{ij} \tag{97}$$

is the Weyl ordered commutator of the algebra. Further, if there is a conjugation on the algebra and if we assume that the noncommutative coordinates are real $\overline{\hat{x}^i} = \hat{x}^i$, then the Weyl ordered monomials are real, too. This is also true for the monomials of the commutative coordinate functions. Therefore this $\star$-product respects the ordinary complex conjugation

$$\overline{f \star g} = \overline{g} \star \overline{f}. \tag{98}$$

On the level of the Poisson tensor this means

$$\overline{c^{ij}} = -c^{ij}. \tag{99}$$

If we have a measure function Ω with $\partial_i(\Omega c^{ij}) = 0$, then

$$\int d^n x \, \Omega \, f \star g = \int d^n x \, \Omega \, g \star f + \mathcal{O}(3). \tag{100}$$

3.2 Derivations

We now want to calculate the derivations δ_X of the Weyl-ordered $\star$-product (96) from the derivations X of the Poisson structure c^{ij} up to second order. We assume that δ_X can be expanded in the following way

$$\delta_X = X^i \partial_i + \delta_X^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + \delta_X^{ijk} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k + \cdots . \tag{101}$$

Expanding the equation

$$\delta_X(f \star g) = \delta_X(f) \star g + f \star \delta_X(g) \tag{102}$$

order by order and using $[X, c]_S = 0$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_X &= X^i \partial_i - \frac{1}{12} c^{lk} \partial_k c^{im} \partial_l \partial_m X^j \partial_i \partial_j \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24} c^{lk} c^{im} \partial_l \partial_i X^j \partial_k \partial_m \partial_j + \mathcal{O}(3). \end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

For $[\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,]_\star$ we simply calculate $[\delta_X, \delta_Y]$ and get

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y]_\star &= [X, Y]_L \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12}(c^{lk} \partial_k c^{im} \partial_l \partial_m X^j \partial_i \partial_j Y^n - c^{lk} \partial_k c^{im} \partial_l \partial_m Y^j \partial_i \partial_j X^n) \partial_n \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24}(c^{lk} c^{im} \partial_l \partial_i X^j \partial_k \partial_m \partial_j Y^n - c^{lk} c^{im} \partial_l \partial_i Y^j \partial_k \partial_m \partial_j X^n) \partial_n \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(3). \end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

3.3 Explicit formulas for the Seiberg-Witten map

We will now present a consistent solution for the Seiberg-Witten-maps up to second order for the Weyl ordered $\star$-product and non-abelian classical gauge transformations. The solutions have been chosen in such a way that they reproduce the ones obtained in [6] for the constant case.

The solution for the gauge transformations is obtained by solving the consistency condition (53) order by order

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_\alpha[a] \;=\;& \alpha - \frac{i}{4}c^{ij}\{\partial_i\alpha, a_j\} \\
&+\frac{1}{32}c^{ij}c^{kl}\Big(4\{\partial_i\alpha,\{a_k,\partial_l a_j\}\} - 2i[\partial_i\partial_k\alpha,\partial_j a_l] \\
&\quad +2[\partial_j a_l,[\partial_i\alpha,a_k]] - 2i[[a_j,a_l],[\partial_i\alpha,a_k]] \\
&\quad +i\{\partial_i\alpha,\{a_k,[a_j,a_l]\}\} + \{a_j,\{a_l,[\partial_i\alpha,a_k]\}\}\Big) \\
&+\frac{1}{24}c^{kl}\partial_l c^{ij}\Big(\{\partial_i\alpha,\{a_k,a_j\}\} - 2i[\partial_i\partial_k\alpha,a_j]\Big) + \mathcal{O}(3).
\end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

In the same way a solution for the field is obtained by solving equation (52)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_\psi[a] \;=\;& \psi + \frac{1}{4}c^{ij}\Big(2ia_i\partial_j\psi + a_i a_j\psi\Big) \\
&+\frac{1}{32}c^{ij}c^{kl}\Big(4i\partial_i a_k\partial_j\partial_l\psi - 4a_i a_k\partial_j\partial_l\psi - 8a_i\partial_j a_k\partial_l\psi \\
&\quad +4a_i\partial_k a_j\partial_l\psi + 4ia_i a_j a_k\partial_l\psi - 4ia_k a_j a_i\partial_l\psi \\
&\quad +4ia_j a_k a_i\partial_l\psi - 4\partial_j a_k a_i\partial_l\psi + 2\partial_i a_k\partial_j a_l\psi \\
&\quad -4ia_i a_l\partial_k a_j\psi - 4ia_i\partial_k a_j a_l\psi + 4ia_i\partial_j a_k a_l\psi \\
&\quad -3a_i a_j a_l a_k\psi - 4a_i a_k a_j a_l\psi - 2a_i a_l a_k a_j\psi\Big) \\
&+\frac{1}{24}c^{kl}\partial_l c^{ij}\Big(2ia_j\partial_k\partial_i\psi + 2i\partial_k a_i\partial_j\psi + 2\partial_k a_i a_j\psi \\
&\quad -a_k a_i\partial_j\psi - 3a_i a_k\partial_j\psi - 2ia_j a_k a_i\psi\Big) + \mathcal{O}(3).
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

From (54) the covariantizer becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
D[a](f) \;=\;& f + ic^{ij}a_i\partial_j f \\
&+\tfrac{1}{4}c^{ij}c^{kl}\Big(-2\{a_i,\partial_j a_k\}\partial_l f + \{a_i,\partial_k a_j\}\partial_l f \\
&\quad +i\{a_i,[a_j,a_k]\}\partial_l f - \{a_i,a_k\}\partial_j\partial_l f\Big) \\
&+\tfrac{1}{4}c^{il}\partial_l c^{jk}\{a_i,a_k\}\partial_j f + \mathcal{O}(3).
\end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

With (56) the NC gauge potential is

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_X \;=\;& X^n a_n + \frac{i}{4} c^{kl} X^n \{a_k, \partial_l a_n + f_{ln}\} + \frac{i}{4} c^{kl} \partial_l X^n \{a_k, a_n\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{32} c^{kl} c^{ij} X^n \Big(-4i[\partial_k \partial_i a_n, \partial_l a_j] + 2i[\partial_k \partial_n a_i, \partial_l a_j] - 4\{a_k, \{a_i, \partial_j f_{ln}\}\} \\
&\qquad -2[[\partial_k a_i, a_n], \partial_l a_j] + 4\{\partial_l a_n, \{\partial_i a_k, a_j\}\} - 4\{a_k, \{f_{li}, f_{jn}\}\} \\
&\qquad +i\{\partial_n a_j, \{a_l, [a_i, a_k]\}\} + i\{a_i, \{a_k, [\partial_n a_j, a_l]\}\} - 4i[[a_i, a_l], [a_k, \partial_j a_n]] \\
&\qquad +2i[[a_i, a_l], [a_k, \partial_n a_j]] + \{a_i, \{a_k, [a_l, [a_j, a_n]]\}\} \\
&\qquad -\{a_k, \{[a_l, a_i], [a_j, a_n]\}\} - [[a_i, a_l], [a_k, [a_j, a_n]]] \Big) \\
&+ \frac{1}{32} c^{kl} c^{ij} \partial_j X^n \Big(2i[\partial_k a_i, \partial_l a_n] + 2i[\partial_i a_k, \partial_l a_n] + 2i[\partial_i a_k, \partial_l a_n - \partial_n a_l] \\
&\qquad +4\{a_n, \{a_l, \partial_k a_i\}\} + 4\{a_k, \{a_i, \partial_n a_l - \partial_l a_n\}\} - 2i\{a_k, \{a_i, [a_n, a_l]\}\} \\
&\qquad +i\{a_i, \{a_l, [a_n, a_k]\}\} + i\{a_n, \{a_l, [a_i, a_k]\}\} \Big) \\
&+ \frac{1}{24} c^{kl} c^{ij} \partial_l \partial_j X^n \Big(\partial_i \partial_k a_n - 2i[a_i, \partial_k a_n] - \{a_n, \{a_k, a_i\}\} \Big) \\
&+ \frac{1}{24} c^{kl} \partial_l c^{ij} X^n \Big(2i[a_j, \partial_k \partial_i a_n] + 2i[\partial_k a_i, f_{jn}] \\
&\qquad -\{\partial_j a_n, \{a_k, a_i\}\} + 2\{a_i, \{a_k, f_{nj}\}\} \Big) \\
&+ \frac{1}{24} c^{kl} \partial_l c^{ij} \partial_j X^n \Big(-4i[a_i, \partial_k a_n] + 2i[a_k, \partial_i a_n] - \{a_n, \{a_k, a_i\}\} \Big) \\
&- \frac{1}{12} c^{kl} \partial_l c^{ij} \partial_j \partial_k X^n \partial_i a_n + \mathcal{O}(3).
\end{aligned}
\tag{108}
$$

The resulting field strength is

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{ab} = F(X_a, X_b) \;=\;& X_a^k X_b^l f_{kl} + \frac{i}{2} c^{ij} \{a_i, \partial_j (X_a^k X_b^l f_{kl})\} \\
&+ \frac{i}{2} c^{ij} X_a^k X_b^l \{f_{jl}, f_{ki}\} + \frac{1}{4} c^{ij} X_a^k X_b^l \{a_i, [a_j, f_{kl}]\} + \mathcal{O}(2).
\end{aligned}
\tag{109}
$$

The covariant derivative is

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_a \Phi = D_{X_a} \Phi \;=\;& \delta_{X_a} \Phi - i A_{X_a} \star \Phi \\
=\;& X_a^k D_k \phi + \frac{i}{2} X_a^k f_{ki} c^{ij} D_j \phi \\
&+ \frac{i}{2} c^{ij} a_i \partial_j (X_a^k D_k \phi) + \frac{1}{4} c^{ij} a_i a_j X_a^k D_k \phi + \mathcal{O}(2).
\end{aligned}
\tag{110}
$$

Using partial integration and the trace property of the integral, i.e. $\partial_\mu(\Omega c^{\mu\nu}) = 0$, we can calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{S}_{gauge} &= \int d^n x \, \Omega \, \eta^{ab} \eta^{cd} F_{ac} \star F_{bd} \qquad (111) \\
&= \int d^n x \, \Omega \, \eta^{ab} \eta^{cd} X^\mu_a X^\nu_c X^\rho_b X^\sigma_d f_{\mu\nu} f_{\rho\sigma} \\
&\quad + \int d^4 x \, \Omega \, \eta^{ab} \eta^{cd} \Big(\frac{i}{4} c^{ij} [a_i, [\partial_j (X^\mu_a X^\nu_c f_{\mu\nu}), X^\rho_b X^\sigma_d f_{\rho\sigma}]] \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{8} c^{ij} X^\mu_a X^\nu_c X^\rho_b X^\sigma_d \{ f_{\mu\nu}, \{ f_{ij}, f_{\rho\sigma} \} \} - \frac{1}{4} c^{ij} X^\mu_a X^\nu_c X^\rho_b X^\sigma_d [f_{\mu\nu} a_i, f_{\rho\sigma} a_j] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} c^{ij} X^\mu_a X^\nu_c X^\rho_b X^\sigma_d [a_i f_{\mu\nu}, a_j f_{\rho\sigma}] + \frac{i}{2} c^{ij} X^\mu_a X^\nu_c X^\rho_b X^\sigma_d \{ f_{\mu\nu}, \{ f_{j\sigma}, f_{\rho i} \} \} \Big) + \mathcal{O}(2).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the action for the gauge particles is

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{gauge} &= -\frac{1}{4} tr(\widetilde{S}_{gauge}) \qquad (112) \\
&= \int d^n x \, \Omega \, \eta^{ab} \eta^{cd} X^\mu_a X^\nu_c X^\rho_b X^\sigma_d \Big(-\frac{1}{4} tr(f_{\mu\nu} f_{\rho\sigma}) \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{8} c^{ij} tr(f_{ij} f_{\mu\nu} f_{\rho\sigma}) - \frac{i}{2} c^{ij} tr(f_{\mu\nu} f_{j\sigma} f_{\rho i}) \Big) + \mathcal{O}(2).
\end{aligned}
$$

With $\overline{c}^{ij} = -c^{ij}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{scalar} &= \int d^n x \, \Omega \, \eta^{ab} \overline{D_a \Phi} \star D_b \Phi \qquad (113) \\
&= \int d^n x \, \Omega \, \eta^{ab} \Big(\overline{X}^\mu_a X^\nu_b \overline{D_\mu \phi} D_\nu \phi \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{2} c^{ij} \overline{X}^\mu_a X^\nu_b \overline{D_\mu \phi} f_{\nu i} D_j \phi + \frac{i}{2} c^{ij} \overline{X}^\mu_a X^\nu_b \overline{D_j \phi} f_{\nu i} D_\mu \phi \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{2} c^{ij} \overline{X}^\mu_a X^\nu_b \overline{D_\mu \phi} f_{ij} D_\nu \phi \Big) + \mathcal{O}(2)
\end{aligned}
$$

for the scalar fields.

3.4 Example: $SO_a(n)$

Now we continue our example from chapter 2.7. It is easy to see that the Poisson tensor corresponding to the algebra is

$$
c^{\mu\nu} = ia \delta^\mu_0 \delta^\nu_i x^i - ia \delta^\nu_0 \delta^\mu_i x^i. \qquad (114)
$$

Since we are dealing here with the case of a Lie algebra we surely have $W(c^{\mu\nu}) = [\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu]$. The $\hat{x}^i$ commute with each other, therefore we get with $\rho = \sqrt{x_i x^i}$

$$W(\rho) = \hat{\rho}. \tag{115}$$

The components of the frame ($e_\alpha = X_\alpha{}^\mu \partial_u$) are

$$\begin{aligned} X_0^\mu &= \delta_0^\mu, \\ X_i^\mu &= \rho \delta_i^\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

These we can plug into our solution of the Seiberg-Witten map and get

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_\lambda[a] &= \lambda + \frac{a}{4} x^i \{\partial_0 \lambda, a_i\} - \frac{a}{4} x^i \{\partial_i \lambda, a_0\} + \mathcal{O}(a^2), \\ \Phi_\phi[a] &= \phi - \frac{a}{2} x^i a_0 \partial_i \phi + \frac{a}{2} x^i a_i \partial_0 \phi + \frac{ia}{4} x^i [a_0, a_i] \phi + \mathcal{O}(a^2), \\ A_{X_0} &= a_0 - \frac{a}{4} x^i \{a_0, \partial_i a_0 + f_{i0}\} + \frac{a}{4} x^i \{a_i, \partial_0 a_0\} + \mathcal{O}(a^2), \\ A_{X_j} &= \rho a_j - \frac{a}{4} \rho \{a_j, a_0\} - \frac{a}{4} \rho x^i \{a_0, \partial_i a_j + f_{ij}\} + \frac{a}{4} \rho x^i \{a_i, \partial_0 a_j + f_{0j}\} + \mathcal{O}(a^2), \\ \delta_{X_\mu} &= X_\mu^\nu \partial_\nu + \mathcal{O}(a^2). \end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

A measure function induced by the trace property of the integral up to second order is

$$\Omega = \rho^{-(n-1)}. \tag{118}$$

We note that

$$\Omega = \sqrt{g}, \tag{119}$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is the classical metric induced by the noncommutative frame (see section 2). With this measure function the actions become

$$\begin{aligned} S_{gauge} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \, \rho^{3-n} \eta^{00} \eta^{ij} Tr(f_{0i} f_{0j}) - \frac{1}{4} \int d^4 x \, \rho^{5-n} \eta^{kl} \eta^{ij} Tr(f_{ki} f_{lj}) \\ &\quad -\frac{a}{2} \int d^n x \, \rho^{3-n} \eta^{00} \eta^{ij} x^p Tr(f_{0p} f_{0i} f_{0j}) + \frac{a}{4} \int d^4 x \, \rho^{5-n} \eta^{kl} \eta^{ij} x^p Tr(f_{0p} f_{ki} f_{lj}) \\ &\quad -\frac{a}{2} \int d^n x \, \rho^{5-n} \eta^{kl} \eta^{ij} x^p Tr(f_{jp} \{f_{ki}, f_{l0}\}) + \mathcal{O}(a^2) \end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{scalar} &= \int d^n x \, \rho^{1-n} \eta^{00} \overline{D_0 \phi} D_0 \phi + \int d^n x \, \rho^{3-n} \eta^{kl} \overline{D_k \phi} D_l \phi \\ &\quad -\frac{a}{2} \int d^n x \, \rho^{3-n} \eta^{kl} x^i \overline{D_k \phi} f_{l0} D_i \phi + \frac{a}{2} \int d^n x \, \rho^{3-n} \eta^{kl} x^i \overline{D_k \phi} f_{li} D_0 \phi \end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{a}{2}\int d^nx\,\rho^{3-n}\eta^{kl}x^i\overline{D_i\phi}f_{l0}D_k\phi+\frac{a}{2}\int d^nx\,\rho^{3-n}\eta^{kl}x^i\overline{D_0\phi}f_{li}D_k\phi\\&-a\int d^nx\,\rho^{3-n}\eta^{kl}x^i\overline{D_k\phi}f_{0i}D_l\phi+\mathcal{O}(a^2).\end{aligned}$$

In the classical limit $a \to 0$ the action reduces to scalar electrodynamics on a curved background or its nonabelian generalization, respectively.

4 Formality

The Weyl-ordered $\star$-product of the last section is very useful for explicit calculations, but these can only be done in a perturbative way order by order. Also, it is only known in general up to the second order we calculated in chapter 3.1. For closed expessions and questions of existence, Kontsevich's Formality $\star$-product [19] is the better choice. It is known to all orders and comes with a strong mathematical framework, in which the derivations are obtained in a very natural way.

4.1 The Formality Map

Kontsevich's Formality map [19] is a very useful tool for studying the relations between Poisson tensors and $\star$-products. To make use of the Formality map we first want to recall some definitions. A polyvector field is a skew-symmetric tensor in the sense of differential geometry. Every n-polyvector field α may locally be written as

$$\alpha = \alpha^{i_1 \dots i_n}\,\partial_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{i_n}. \tag{122}$$

We see that the space of polyvector fields can be endowed with a grading n. For polyvector fields there is a grading respecting bracket that in a natural way generalizes the Lie bracket $[\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,]_L$ of two vector fields, the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket. For an exact definition see A.1. If π is a Poisson tensor, the Hamiltonian vector field H_f for a function f is

$$H_f = [\pi, f]_S = -\pi^{ij}\partial_i f \partial_j. \tag{123}$$

Note that $[\pi, \pi]_S = 0$ is the Jakobi identity of a Poisson tensor.

On the other hand a n-polydifferential operator is a multilinear map that maps n functions to a function. For example, we may write a 1-polydifferential operator D as

$$D(f) = D_0 f + D_1^i \partial_i f + D_2^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j f + \dots. \tag{124}$$

The ordinary multiplication $\cdot$ is a 2-polydifferential operator. It maps two functions to one function. Again the number n is a grading on the space of polydifferential operators.

Now the Gerstenhaber bracket is natural and respects the grading. For a exact definition see A.2.

The Formality map is a collection of skew-symmetric multilinear maps U_n, $n = 0, 1, \ldots$, that maps n polyvector fields to a m-differential operator. To be more specific let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be polyvector fields of grade $k_1, \ldots, k_n$. Then $U_n(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ is a polydifferential operator of grade

$$m = 2 - 2n + \sum_i k_i. \tag{125}$$

In particular the map U_1 is a map from a k-vectorfield to a k-differential operator. It is defined by

$$U_1(\alpha^{i_1 \ldots i_n} \partial_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \partial_{i_n})(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = \alpha^{i_1 \ldots i_n} \partial_{i_1} f_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \partial_{i_n} f_n. \tag{126}$$

The formality maps U_n fulfill the formality condition [19, 20]

$$Q'_1 U_n(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{I \sqcup J = \{1, \ldots, n\} \\ I, J \neq \emptyset}} \epsilon(I, J) Q'_2(U_{|I|}(\alpha_I), U_{|J|}(\alpha_J)) \tag{127}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \epsilon(i, j, \ldots, \hat{i}, \ldots, \hat{j}, \ldots, n) U_{n-1}(Q_2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j), \alpha_1, \ldots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \ldots, \widehat{\alpha}_j, \ldots, \alpha_n). \tag{128}$$

The hats stand for omitted symbols, $Q'_1(\Upsilon) = [\Upsilon, \mu]$ with μ being ordinary multiplication and $Q'_2(\Upsilon_1, \Upsilon_2) = (-1)^{(|\Upsilon_1|-1)|\Upsilon_2|}[\Upsilon_1, \Upsilon_2]_G$ with $|\Upsilon_s|$ being the degree of the polydifferential operator Υ_s, i.e. the number of functions it is acting on. For polyvectorfields $\alpha_s^{i_1 \ldots i_{k_s}} \partial_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \partial_{i_{k_s}}$ of degree k_s we have $Q_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = -(-1)^{(k_1-1)k_2}[\alpha_2, \alpha_1]_S$.

For a bivectorfield π we can now define a bidifferential operator

$$\star = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} U_n(\pi, \ldots, \pi) \tag{129}$$

i.e.

$$f \star g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} U_n(\pi, \ldots, \pi)(f, g). \tag{130}$$

We further define the special polydifferential operators

$$\Phi(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} U_n(\alpha, \pi, \ldots, \pi), \tag{131}$$

$$\Psi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-2)!} U_n(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi, \ldots, \pi). \tag{132}$$

For g a function, X and Y vectorfields and π a bivectorfield we see that

$$\delta_X = \Phi(X) \tag{133}$$

is a 1-differential operator and that both $\phi(g)$ and $\Psi(X,Y)$ are functions.

We now use the formality condition (127) to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
[\star,\star]_G &= \Phi([\pi,\pi]_S), && (134)\\
[\Phi(f),\star]_G &= -\Phi([f,\pi]_S), && (135)\\
[\delta_X,\star]_G &= \Phi([X,\pi]_S), && (136)\\
[\delta_X,\delta_Y]_G + [\Psi(X,Y),\star]_G &= \delta_{[X,Y]_S} + \Psi([\theta,Y]_S,X) - \Psi([\theta,X]_S,Y), && (137)\\
[\Phi(\pi),\Phi(g)]_G + [\Psi(\pi,g),\star]_G &= -\delta_{[\pi,g]_S} - \Psi([\theta,g]_S,\pi) - \Psi([\theta,\pi]_S,g), && (138)\\
[\delta_X,\Phi(g)]_G &= \phi([X,g]_S) - \Psi([\theta,g]_S,X) - \Psi([\theta,X]_S,g). && (139)
\end{aligned}$$

If π is Poisson, i. e. $[\pi,\pi]_S = 0$ and if X and Y are Poisson vector fields, i. e. $[X,\pi]_S = [Y,\pi]_S = 0$, the relations (134) to (137) become

$$\begin{aligned}
f \star (g \star h) &= (f \star g) \star h,\\
\delta_{H_f}(g) &= -[\Phi(f) \overset{\star}{,} g],\\
\delta_X(f \star g) &= \delta_X(f) \star g + f \star \delta_X(g),\\
([\delta_X,\delta_Y] - \delta_{[X,Y]_L})(g) &= [\Psi(X,Y) \overset{\star}{,} g].
\end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

when evaluated on functions. $[\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,]$ are now ordinary brackets. $\star$ defines an associative product, the Hamiltonian vector fields are mapped to inner derivations and Poisson vector fields are mapped to outer derivations of the $\star$-product. Note that in [21] non-associative $\star$-products arised when curved D-branes were considered on curved backgrounds.

Additionally the map δ preserves the bracket up to an inner derivation. The last equation can be cast into a form we used extensively in the definition of our deformed forms;

$$[\delta_X,\delta_Y] = \delta_{[X,Y]_\star} \tag{141}$$

with

$$[X,Y]_\star = [X,Y]_L + H_{\Phi^{-1}\Psi(X,Y)}. \tag{142}$$

4.2 Construction of the Seiberg-Witten map

With the formality $\star$-product and the derivations on it we have all the key ingredients to do NC gauge theory on any Poisson manifold. To relate the NC theory to commutative gauge theory, we need the Seiberg-Witten maps for the formality $\star$-product. In [22] and [23] the SW maps for the NC gauge parameter and the Covariantizer were already constructed to all orders in θ. We will extend the method developed there to the SW map for covariant derivations.

4.2.1 Semi-classical construction

We will first do the construction in the semi-classical limit, where the star commutator is replaced by the Poisson bracket. As in [22] and [23], we define, with the help of the Poisson tensor $\theta = \frac{1}{2}\theta^{kl}\partial_k \wedge \partial_l$

$$d_\theta = -[\cdot, \theta] \tag{143}$$

and (locally)

$$a_\theta = \theta^{ij} a_j \partial_i. \tag{144}$$

Note that the bracket used in the definition of d_θ is not the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket (A.1). For polyvectorfields π_1 and π_2 it is

$$[\pi_1, \pi_2] = -[\pi_2, \pi_1]_S, \tag{145}$$

giving an extra minus sign for π_1 and π_2 both even (see B.3.2). Especially, we get for d_θ acting on a function g

$$d_\theta g = -[g, \theta] = [g, \theta]_S = \theta^{kl}\partial_l g \partial_k. \tag{146}$$

Now a parameter t and t-dependent $\theta_t = \frac{1}{2}\theta_t^{kl}\partial_k \wedge \partial_l$ and $X_t = X_t^k \partial_k$ are introduced, fulfilling

$$\partial_t \theta_t = f_\theta = -\theta_t f \theta_t \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t X_t = -X_t f \theta_t, \tag{147}$$

where the multiplication is ordinary matrix multiplication. Given the Poisson tensor θ and the Poisson vectorfield X, the formal solutions are

$$\theta_t = \theta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-t\, f\theta)^n = \frac{1}{2}(\theta^{kl} - t\theta^{ki} f_{ij} \theta^{jl} + \ldots)\partial_k \wedge \partial_l \tag{148}$$

and

$$X_t = X \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-t\, f\theta)^n = X^k \partial_k - tX^i f_{ij}\theta^{jk}\partial_k + \ldots. \tag{149}$$

θ_t is still a Poisson tensor and X_t is still a Poisson vectorfield, i.e.

$$[\theta_t, \theta_t] = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad [X_t, \theta_t] = 0. \tag{150}$$

For the proof see B.1.

With this we calculate

$$f_\theta = \partial_t \theta_t = -\theta_t f \theta_t = -[a_\theta, \theta] = d_\theta a_\theta. \tag{151}$$

We now get the following commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [a_{\theta_t} + \partial_t, d_{\theta_t}(g)] &= d_{\theta_t}((a_{\theta_t} + \partial_t)(g)), && (152) \\ [a_{\theta_t} + \partial_t, X_t] &= -d_{\theta_t}(X_t^k a_k), && (153) \end{aligned}$$

where g is some function which might also depend on t (see B.3.1).

To construct the Seiberg-Witten map for the gauge potential A_X, we first define

$$K_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)!} (a_{\theta_t} + \partial_t)^n. \tag{154}$$

With this, the semi-classical gauge parameter reads [22, 23]

$$\Lambda_\lambda[a] = K_t(\lambda)\Big|_{t=0}. \tag{155}$$

To see that this has indeed the right transformation properties under gauge transformations, we first note that the transformation properties of a_{θ_t} and $X_t^k a_k$ are

$$\delta_\lambda a_{\theta_t} = \theta_t^{kl} \partial_l \lambda \partial_k = d_{\theta_t} \lambda \tag{156}$$

and

$$\delta_\lambda (X_t^k a_k) = X_t^k \partial_k \lambda = [X_t, \lambda]. \tag{157}$$

Using (156,157) and the commutation relations (152,153), a rather tedious calculation (see B.2) shows that

$$\delta_\lambda K_t(X_t^k a_k) = X_t^k \partial_k K_t(\lambda) + d_{\theta_t}(K_t(\lambda)) K_t(X_t^k a_k). \tag{158}$$

Therefore, the semi-classical gauge potential is

$$A_X[a] = K_t(X_t^k a_k)\Big|_{t=0}. \tag{159}$$

4.2.2 Quantum construction

We can now use the Kontsevich formality map to quantise the semi-classical construction. All the semi-classical expressions can be mapped to their counterparts in the $\star$-product formalism without loosing the properties necessary for the construction. One higher order term will appear, fixing the transformation properties for the quantum objects.

The star-product we will use is

$$\star = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} U_n(\theta_t, \ldots, \theta_t). \tag{160}$$

We define

$$d_\star = -[\cdot, \star]_G \ , \tag{161}$$

which for functions f and g reads

$$d_\star(g)\, f = [f \overset{\star}{,} g]. \tag{162}$$

The bracket used in the definition of $d_\star$ is the Gerstenhaber bracket (A.2). We now calculate the commutators (152) and (153) in the new setting (see B.3.2). We get

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi(a_{\theta_t}) + \partial_t, d_\star(\Phi(g))] &= d_\star((\Phi(a_{\theta_t}) + \partial_t)\Phi(f)), && (163)\\ [\Phi(a_{\theta_t}) + \partial_t, \Phi(X_t)] &= -d_\star(\Phi(X_t^k a_k) - \Psi(a_{\theta_t}, X_t)). && (164) \end{aligned}$$

The higher order term $\Psi(a_{\theta_t}, X_t)$ has appeared, but looking at the gauge transformation properties of the quantum objects we see that it is actually necessary. We get

$$\delta_\lambda \Phi(a_{\theta_t}) = \Phi(d_{\theta_t}\lambda) = d_\star \Phi(\lambda) \tag{165}$$

with (141) and (156) and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda(\Phi(X_t^k a_k) - \Psi(a_\theta, X_t)) &= \Phi([X_t, \lambda]) - \Psi(d_\theta\lambda, X_t) && (166)\\ &= [\Phi(X_t), \Phi(\lambda)] - \Psi([\theta_t, \lambda], X_t)\\ &\quad + \Psi([\theta_t, X_t], \lambda) - \Psi(d_\theta\lambda, X_t)\\ &= [\Phi(X_t), \Phi(\lambda)]\\ &= \delta_{X_t}\Phi(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where the addition of the new term preserves the correct transformation property. With

$$K_t^\star = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)!} (\Phi(a_{\theta_t}) + \partial_t)^n, \tag{167}$$

a calculation analogous to the semi-classical case gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda(K_t^\star(\Phi(X_t^k a_k) - \Psi(a_{\theta_t}, X_t))) &= \delta_{X_t} K_t^\star(\Phi(\lambda)) && (168)\\ &\quad + d_\star(K_t^\star(\Phi(\lambda)))K_t^\star(\Phi(X_t^k a_k) - \Psi(a_{\theta_t}, X_t)). \end{aligned}$$

As in [22, 23], the NC gauge parameter is

$$\Lambda_\lambda[a] = K_t^\star(\Phi(\lambda))\Big|_{t=0}, \tag{169}$$

and we therefore get for the NC gauge potential

$$A_X[a] = K_t^\star(\Phi(X_t^k a_k) - \Psi(a_{\theta_t}, X_t))\Big|_{t=0}, \tag{170}$$

transforming with

$$\delta_\lambda A_X = \delta_X \Lambda_\lambda - [\Lambda_\lambda \overset{\star}{,} A_X]. \tag{171}$$

5 Acknowledgements

The authors want to thank B. Jurco and J. Wess for many interesting discussions. We also want to thank the MPI and the LMU for their support.

A Definitions

A.1 The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket

The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket for multivectorfields $\pi_s^{i_1 \ldots i_{k_s}} \partial_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \partial_{i_{k_s}}$ can be written as ([20],IV.2.1):

$$[\pi_1, \pi_2]_S = (-1)^{k_1 - 1} \pi_1 \bullet \pi_2 - (-1)^{k_1(k_2-1)} \pi_2 \bullet \pi_1, \tag{172}$$

$$\pi_1 \bullet \pi_2 = \sum_{l=1}^{k_1} (-1)^{l-1} \pi_1^{i_1 \ldots i_{k_1}} \partial_l \pi_2^{j_1 \ldots j_{k_2}} \partial_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{\partial_{i_l}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \partial_{i_{k_1}} \wedge \partial_{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \partial_{j_{k_2}}, \tag{173}$$

where the hat marks an omitted derivative.

For a function g, vectorfields $X = X^k \partial_k$ and $Y = Y^k \partial_k$ and a bivectorfield $\pi = \frac{1}{2} \pi^{kl} \partial_k \wedge \partial_l$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
[X, g]_S &= X^k \partial_k g, && (174) \\
[\pi, g]_S &= -\pi^{kl} \partial_k g \partial_l, && (175) \\
[X, \pi]_S &= \frac{1}{2}(X^k \partial_k \pi^{ij} - \pi^{ik} \partial_k X^j + \pi^{jk} \partial_k X^i) \partial_i \wedge \partial_j, && (176) \\
[\pi, \pi]_S &= \frac{1}{3}(\pi^{kl} \partial_l \pi^{ij} + \pi^{il} \partial_l \pi^{jk} + \pi^{jl} \partial_l \pi^{ki}) \partial_k \wedge \partial_i \wedge \partial_j. && (177)
\end{aligned}$$

A.2 The Gerstenhaber bracket

The Gerstenhaber bracket for polydifferential operators A_s can be written as ([20],IV.3):

$$[A_1, A_2]_G = A_1 \circ A_2 - (-1)^{(|A_1|-1)(|A_2|-1)} A_2 \circ A_1, \tag{178}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(A_1 \circ A_2)(f_1, \dots f_{m_1+m_2-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (-1)^{(m_2-1)(j-1)} A_1(f_1, \dots f_{j-1}, A_2(f_j, \dots, f_{j+m_2-1}), f_{j+m_2}, \dots, f_{m_1+m_2-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{179}$$

where $|A_s|$ is the degree of the polydifferential operator A_s, i.e. the number of functions it is acting on.

For functions g and f, differential operators $D_1$and D_2 of degree one and P of degree two we get

$$\begin{aligned} [D, g]_G &= D(g), \\ [P, g]_G(f) &= P(g, f) - P(f, g), \\ [D_1, D_2]_G(g) &= D_1(D_2(g)) - D_2(D_1(g)), \\ [P, D]_G(f, g) &= P(D(f), g) + P(f, D(g)) - D(P(f, g)). \end{aligned} \tag{180}$$

B Calculations

B.1 Calculation of $[\theta_t, \theta_t]$ and $[\theta_t, X_t]$

We want to show that θ_t is still a Poisson tensor and that X_t still commutes with θ_t. For this we first define $\theta(n)^k_l = (\theta f)^n = \theta^{ki} f_{ij} \dots \theta^{rs} f_{sl} = f_{li} \theta^{ij} \dots f_{rs} \theta^{sk} = (f\theta)^n$ and $\theta(n)^{kl} = \theta(f\theta)^n = \theta^{ki} f_{ij} \dots f_{rs} \theta^{sl}$. In the calculations to follow we will sometimes drop the derivatives of the polyvectorfields and associate $\pi^{k_1 \dots k_n}$ with $\pi^{k_1 \dots k_n} \frac{1}{n} \partial_{k_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{k_n}$for simplicity. All the calculations are done locally.

We evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta_t, \theta_t]_S &= \theta_t^{kl} \partial_l \theta_t^{ij} + \text{c.p. in } (kij) \\ &= \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{o=0}^{m} (-t)^{n+m} \theta(n)^k_r \theta(o)^i_s \theta(m-o)^j_p \theta^{rl} \partial_l \theta^{sp} + \text{c.p. in } (kij) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{o=0}^{m} (-t)^{n+m+1} \theta(n)^{kl} \theta(o)^{is} \theta(m-o)^{pj} \partial_l f_{sp} + \text{c.p. in } (kij) \end{aligned} \tag{181}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{n,m,o=0}^{\infty} (-t)^{n+m+o}\theta(n)^k_r\theta(o)^i_s\theta(m)^j_p\theta^{rl}\partial_l\theta^{sp} + \text{c.p. in } (kij)\\ &\quad - \sum_{n,m,o=0}^{\infty}(-t)^{n+m+o+1}\theta(n)^{kl}\theta(o)^{is}\theta(m)^{jp}\partial_l f_{sp} + \text{c.p. in } (kij).\end{aligned}$$

The first part vanishes because θ_t is a Poisson tensor, i.e.

$$[\theta,\theta]_S = \theta^{kl}\partial_l\theta^{ij} + \text{c.p. in } (kij) = 0, \tag{182}$$

the second part because of

$$\partial_k f_{ij} + \text{c.p. in } (kij) = 0. \tag{183}$$

To prove that X_t still commutes with θ_t, we first note that

$$X_t = X\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-tf\theta) = X(1-tf\theta_t). \tag{184}$$

With this we can write

$$\begin{aligned} [X_t,\theta_t] &= [X,\theta_t] - t[Xf\theta_t,\theta_t] \qquad (185)\\ &= X^n\partial_n\theta_t^{kl} - \theta_t^{kn}\partial_n X^l + \theta_t^{ln}\partial_n X^k\\ &\quad -tX^m f_{mi}\theta_t^{in}\partial_n\theta_t^{kl} + t\theta_t^{kn}\partial_n(X^m f_{mi}\theta_t^{il}) - t\theta_t^{ln}\partial_n(X^m f_{mi}\theta_t^{ik})\\ &= X^n\partial_n\theta_t^{kl} - \theta_t^{kn}\partial_n X^l + \theta_t^{ln}\partial_n X^k\\ &\quad +t\theta_t^{kn}\partial_n X^m f_{mi}\theta_t^{il} - t\theta_t^{ln}\partial_n X^m f_{mi}\theta_t^{ik}\\ &\quad +t\theta_t^{kn}X^m\partial_n f_{mi}\theta_t^{il} - t\theta_t^{ln}X^m\partial_n f_{mi}\theta_t^{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used (182). To go on we note that

$$t\theta_t^{kn}X^m\partial_n f_{mi}\theta_t^{il} - t\theta_t^{ln}X^m\partial_n f_{mi}\theta_t^{ik} = tX^n\theta_t^{km}\partial_n f_{mi}\theta_t^{il}, \tag{186}$$

where we used (183). Making use of the power series expansion and the fact that X commutes with θ, i.e.

$$[X,\theta] = X^n\partial_n\theta^{kl} - \theta^{kn}\partial_n X^l + \theta^{ln}\partial_n X^k = 0, \tag{187}$$

we further get

$$X^n\partial_n\theta_t^{kl} + tX^n\theta_t^{km}\partial_n f_{mi}\theta_t^{il} = \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty}(-t)^{r+s}\theta(r)^k_i X^n\partial_n\theta^{ij}\theta(s)^l_j \tag{188}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty}(-t)^{r+s}\theta(r)_i^k\theta^{in}\partial_n X^j\theta(s)_j^l \\
& -\sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty}(-t)^{r+s}\theta(r)_i^k\theta^{jn}\partial_n X^i\theta(s)_j^l .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore (185) reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
[X_t,\theta_t] = & \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty}(-t)^{r+s}\theta(r)_i^k\theta(s)_j^l\theta^{in}\partial_n X^j-\sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty}(-t)^{r+s}\theta(r)_i^k\theta(s)_j^l\theta^{jn}\partial_n X^i \\
& -\theta_t^{kn}\partial_n X^l+\theta_t^{ln}\partial_n X^k+t\theta_t^{kn}\partial_n X^m f_{mi}\theta_t^{il}-t\theta_t^{ln}\partial_n X^m f_{mi}\theta_t^{ik} \\
= & \ 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{189}
$$

B.2 The transformation properties of K_t

To calculate the transformation properties of $K_t(X_t^k a_k)$, we first evaluate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_\lambda((a_\theta+\partial_t)^n)X^k a_k = & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(a_\theta+\partial_t)^i d_\theta(\lambda)(a_\theta+\partial_t)^{n-1-i}X^k a_k \\
= & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{l=0}^{i}\binom{i}{l}d_\theta((a_\theta+\partial_t)^l(\lambda))(a_\theta+\partial_t)^{n-1-l}X^k a_k
\end{aligned}
\tag{190}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a_\theta+\partial_t)^n\delta_\lambda(X^k a_k) \\
= & (a_\theta+\partial_t)^n X^k\partial_k\lambda \\
= & X^k\partial_k(a_\theta+\partial_t)^n-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(a_\theta+\partial_t)^i d_\theta(X^k a_k)(a_\theta+\partial_t)^{n-1-i}\lambda \\
= & X^k\partial_k(a_\theta+\partial_t)^n \\
& -\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1-i}\binom{n-1-i}{j}(-1)^{n-1-i-j}(a_\theta+\partial_t)^{i+j}d_\theta((a_\theta+\partial_t)^{n-1-i-j}(X^k a_k))(\lambda) \\
= & X^k\partial_k(a_\theta+\partial_t)^n \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1-i}\binom{n-1-i}{j}(-1)^{n-1-i-j}(a_\theta+\partial_t)^{i+j}d_\theta(\lambda)((a_\theta+\partial_t)^{n-1-i-j}(X^k a_k))
\end{aligned}
\tag{191}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= \quad & X^k \partial_k (a_\theta + \partial_t)^n \\
& + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1-i} \sum_{l=0}^{i+j} \binom{n-1-i}{j} \binom{i+j}{l} (-1)^{n-1-i-j} d_\theta((a_\theta + \partial_t)^l(\lambda))((a_\theta + \partial_t)^{n-1-l}(X^k a_k)).
\end{aligned}
$$

We go on by simplifying these expressions. Using

$$
\binom{i}{l} = \binom{i-1}{l} + \binom{i-1}{l-1} \quad \text{for} \quad i > l \tag{192}
$$

we get

$$
\sum_{m=l}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{n-1-i}{m-i} \binom{m}{l} (-1)^{n-1-m} = \sum_{m=l}^{n-1} \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{l} (-1)^{n-1-m}. \tag{193}
$$

Using (192) again two times and then using induction we go on to

$$
\sum_{m=l}^{n-1} \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{l} (-1)^{n-1-m} = \sum_{i=0}^{l} \binom{n-1-i}{n-1-l}, \tag{194}
$$

giving, after using (192) again

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{l} \binom{n-1-i}{n-1-l} = \binom{n}{l}. \tag{195}
$$

Together with

$$
\sum_{i=l}^{n-1} \binom{i}{l} = \binom{n}{l+1} \tag{196}
$$

these formulas add up to give

$$
\sum_{m=l}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{n-1-i}{m-i} \binom{m}{l} (-1)^{n-1-m} + \sum_{i=l}^{n-1} \binom{i}{l} = \binom{n+1}{l+1} \tag{197}
$$

and therefore

$$
\delta_\lambda(K_t(X^k a_k)) = X^k \partial_k (K_t(\lambda)) + d_\theta(K_t(\lambda)) K_t(X^k a_k). \tag{198}
$$

B.3 Calculation of the commutators

B.3.1 Semi-classical construction

We calculate the commutator (152) (see also [23]), dropping the t-subscripts on θ_t for simplicity and using local expressions.

$$\begin{aligned}[a_\theta, d_\theta(g)] &= -\theta^{ij}a_j\partial_i\theta^{kl}\partial_k g\partial_l - \theta^{ij}a_j\theta^{kl}\partial_i\partial_k g\partial_l \\ &\quad +\theta^{kl}\partial_k g\partial_l\theta^{ij}a_j\partial_i + \theta^{kl}\partial_k g\theta^{ij}\partial_l a_j\partial_i \\ &= -\theta^{kl}\partial_k\theta^{ij}a_j\partial_i g\partial_l - \theta^{kl}\theta^{ij}a_j\partial_k\partial_i g\partial_l - \theta^{kl}\theta^{ij}\partial_j a_k\partial_i g\partial_l \\ &= +\theta^{ij}f_{jk}\theta^{kl}\partial_i g\partial_l - \theta^{kl}\partial_k(\theta^{ij}a_j\partial_i g)\partial_l \\ &= -d_{\theta f\theta}g + d_\theta(a_\theta(g)) \\ &= -\partial_t(d_\theta)g + d_\theta(a_\theta(g)).\end{aligned} \tag{199}$$

For (153) we get

$$\begin{aligned}[a_\theta, X_t] &= \theta^{ij}a_j\partial_i X^k\partial_k - X^k\partial_k\theta^{ij}a_j\partial_i - X^k\theta^{ij}\partial_k a_j\partial_i \\ &= -\theta^{ij}X^k\partial_k a_j\partial_i - \theta^{ik}\partial_k X^j a_j\partial_i \\ &= X^k f_{ki}\theta^{ij}\partial_j + \theta^{ij}\partial_i(X^k a_k)\partial_j \\ &= -\partial_t X - d_\theta(X^k a_k).\end{aligned} \tag{200}$$

B.3.2 Quantum construction

In [24], (135,136,139) have already been calculated, unluckily (and implicitly) using a different sign convention for the brackets of polyvectorfields. In [23], again a different sign convention is used, coinciding with the one in [24] in the relevant cases. In order to keep our formulas consistent with the ones used in [24, 23], we define our bracket on polyvectorfields π_1 and π_2 as in [24] to be

$$[\pi_1, \pi_2] = -[\pi_2, \pi_1]_S, \tag{201}$$

giving an extra minus sign for π_1 and π_2 both even. The bracket on polydifferential operators is always the Gerstenhaber bracket.

With these conventions and

$$d_\star = -[\cdot, \star] \tag{202}$$

we rewrite the formulas (139,137,135,136) so we can use them in the following

$$[\Phi(X), \Phi(g)]_G = \Phi([X, g]) + \Psi([\theta, g], X) - \Psi([\theta, X], g), \tag{203}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\Phi(X), \Phi(Y)]_G &= d_\star\Psi(X, Y) \\ &\quad +\Phi([X, Y]) + \Psi([\theta, Y], X) - \Psi([\theta, X], Y),\end{aligned} \tag{204}$$

$$d_\star\Phi(g) = \Phi(d_\theta(g)), \tag{205}$$

$$d_\star\Phi(X) = \Phi(d_\theta(X)). \tag{206}$$

For the calculation of the commutators of the quantum objects we first define

$$a_\star = \Phi(a_{\theta_t}) \tag{207}$$

and

$$f_\star = \Phi(f_{\theta_t}). \tag{208}$$

With (206) we get the quantum version of (151)

$$f_\star = d_\star a_\star. \tag{209}$$

For functions f and g we get

$$\partial_t(f \star g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \partial_t U_n(\theta_t, \ldots, \theta_t)(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} U_n(f_\theta, \ldots, \theta_t)(f, g) = f_\star(f, g). \tag{210}$$

With these two formulas we can now calculate the quantum version of (152) as in [23]. On two functions f and g we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(f \star g) &= f_\star(f, g) \\ &= d_\star a_\star(f, g) \\ &= -[a_\star, \star](f, g) \\ &= -a_\star(f \star g) + a_\star(f) \star g + f \star a_\star(g), \end{aligned} \tag{211}$$

where we used (180) in the last step. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [a_\star, d_\star(g)](f) &= a_\star(d_\star(g)(f)) - d_\star(g)(a_\star(f)) \\ &= a_\star([f \overset{\star}{,} g]) - [a_\star(f) \overset{\star}{,} g] \\ &= -\partial_t[f \overset{\star}{,} g] - [a_\star(g) \overset{\star}{,} f] \\ &= -\partial_t d_\star(g)(f) + d_\star(a_\star(g))(f). \end{aligned} \tag{212}$$

For a function g which might also depend on t the quantum version of (152) now reads

$$[a_\star + \partial_t, d_\star(g)] = d_\star(a_\star(g)). \tag{213}$$

We go on to calculate the quantum version of (153). We first note that

$$\partial_t \Phi(X_t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \partial_t U_n(X_t, \theta_t, \ldots, \theta_t) = \Phi(\partial_t X_t) + \Psi(f_\theta, X_t). \tag{214}$$

With this we get

$$\begin{aligned}[\Phi(a_\theta),\Phi(X_t)] &= d_\star\Psi(a_\theta,X_t)+\Phi([a_\theta,X_t])-\Psi([\theta_t\, a_\theta])+\Psi([\theta_t,X_t],a_\theta) \\ &= d_\star\Psi(a_\theta,X_t)+\Phi(-d_\theta(X_t^k a_k))+\Phi(-\partial_t X_t)-\Psi(f_\theta,X_t) \\ &= -d_\star(\Phi(X_t^k a_k)-\Psi(a_\theta,X_t))-\partial_t\Phi(X_t),\end{aligned} \tag{215}$$

where we have used (204).

References

[1] J. Madore, *Gravity on fuzzy space-time*, (1997), gr-qc/9709002.

[2] A. Connes, *Gravity coupled with matter and the foundation of non- commutative geometry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **182**, 155 (1996), hep-th/9603053.

[3] F. Meyer and H. Steinacker, *Gauge field theory on the $E_q(2)$-covariant plane*, (2003), hep-th/0309053.

[4] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *String theory and noncommutative geometry*, JHEP **09**, 032 (1999), hep-th/9908142.

[5] J. Madore, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, and J. Wess, *Gauge theory on noncommutative spaces*, Eur. Phys. J. **C16**, 161 (2000), hep-th/0001203.

[6] B. Jurco, L. Moller, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, and J. Wess, *Construction of non-Abelian gauge theories on noncommutative spaces*, Eur. Phys. J. **C21**, 383 (2001), hep-th/0104153.

[7] M. Dimitrijevic, F. Meyer, L. Moller, and J. Wess, *Gauge theories on the kappa-Minkowski spacetime*, (2003), hep-th/0310116.

[8] B. Jurco, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, and J. Wess, *Enveloping algebra valued gauge transformations for non- Abelian gauge groups on non-commutative spaces*, Eur. Phys. J. **C17**, 521 (2000), hep-th/0006246.

[9] X. Calmet and M. Wohlgenannt, *Effective field theories on non-commutative space-time*, Phys. Rev. **D68**, 025016 (2003), hep-ph/0305027.

[10] G. Felder and B. Shoikhet, *Deformation quantization with traces*, (2000), math.qa/0002057.

[11] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Covariant differential calculus on the quantum hyperplane*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **18B**, 302 (1991).

[12] A. Lorek, W. Weich, and J. Wess, *Non-commutative Euclidean and Minkowski structures*, Z. Phys. **C76**, 375 (1997).

[13] B. L. Cerchiai, R. Hinterding, J. Madore, and J. Wess, *The Geometry of a q-Deformed Phase Space*, Eur. J. Phys. **C8**, 533 (1999), math.qa/9807123.

[14] A. Dimakis and J. Madore, *Differential calculi an linear connections*, J. Math. Phys. **37**, 4647 (1996).

[15] J. Madore, *Noncommutative geometry for pedestrians*, (1999), gr-qc/9906059.

[16] B. L. Cerchiai, G. Fiore, and J. Madore, *Frame formalism for the N-dimensional quantum Euclidean spaces*, (2000), math.qa/0007044.

[17] J. Madore, *An introduction to noncommutative differential geometry and physical applications*, Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (2000) 371 p.

[18] S. Majid and H. Ruegg, *Bicrossproduct structure of kappa Poincare group and noncommutative geometry*, Phys. Lett. **B334**, 348 (1994), hep-th/9405107.

[19] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, I*, (1997), q-alg/9709040.

[20] D. Arnal, D. Manchon, and M. Masmoudi, *Choix des signes pour la formalite de M. Kontsevich*, (2000), math.qa/0003003.

[21] L. Cornalba and R. Schiappa, *Nonassociative star product deformations for D-brane worldvolumes in curved backgrounds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **225**, 33 (2002), hep-th/0101219.

[22] B. Jurco, P. Schupp, and J. Wess, *Noncommutative gauge theory for Poisson manifolds*, Nucl. Phys. **B584**, 784 (2000), hep-th/0005005.

[23] B. Jurco, P. Schupp, and J. Wess, *Nonabelian noncommutative gauge theory via noncommutative extra dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B604**, 148 (2001), hep-th/0102129.

[24] D. Manchon, *Poisson bracket, deformed bracket and gauge group actions in Kontsevich deformation quantization*, (2000), math.qa/0003004.